ON THE DYNAMICS OF BMN OPERATORS OF FINITE SIZE AND THE MODEL OF STRING BITS*

S. BELLUCCI, <u>C. SOCHICHIU</u>[†]

INFN – Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Via E. Fermi 40, 00044 Frascati, Italy E-mail: sochichi@lnf.infn.it

We consider the discretization effects of a string-bit model simulating the near-BMN operators in the super–Yang–Mills model. The fermionic sector of this model is altered by the so called *species doubling*. We analyze the possibilities to cure this disease and propose an alternative formulation of the fermionic sector free from the above drawbacks. Also we propose a formulation of string bits with exact supersymmetry, which produces however an even number of continuous strings in the limit $J \to \infty$.

1. Introduction

AdS/CFT correspondence is originally formulated^{1,2} as a duality relation between string theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ space and conformal theory of $\mathcal{N}=4$ Super Yang–Mills (SYM) on four dimensional Minkowski space, which is the boundary of AdS (see Ref.³ for a review).

Being a true duality, AdS/CFT correspondence relates the weakly coupled SYM regime to the strongly coupled string theory and *vice versa*. This makes it into a strong predictive tool, however a difficult ont to test, since there are no means to probe either SYM or string theory at strong coupling. Beyond this, the solution of string theory on AdS background is not known (although some progress towards this was recently achieved, see Ref.⁴ and

^{*}This work is supported by Russian grant for support of leading scientific schools 2052.2003.1, nato collaborative linkage grant pst.clg. 97938, intas-00-00254 grant, rf presidential grants md-252.2003.02, ns-1252.2003.2, intas grant 03-51-6346, rfbr-dfg grant 436 rys 113/669/0-2, rfbr grant 03-02-16193 and the european community's human potential programme under contract hprn-ct-2000-00131 quantum spacetime.

[†]on leave from: Bogoliubov Lab. Theor. Phys., JINR, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Reg., RUSSIA and Institutul de Fizică Aplicată AŞ, str. Academiei, nr. 5, Chişinău, MD2028 MOLDOVA.

references therein).

A few years ago, Berenstein–Maldacena–Nastase^{5,6,7} proposed to consider the particular limit of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ geometry known as pp-wave background, on which the string theory is solvable^{8,9}. This situation of the overlap of the applicability of both string theory and SYM was further extended to spinning string backgrounds^{10,11,12} (see also the contribution of G. Arutyunov to the present proceedings).

In the absence of a more adequate tool to describe string theory near the BMN limit it was proposed to use the string bit model^{13,14,15}. This model consists of the set of point-like interacting particles — string bits which in the limit of the number of particles going to infinity is expected to produce the continuous pp-wave string. Later on, however, the exact description in terms of spin chains was found in the planar limit of SYM ^{16,17}. Nevertheless, string bits remained an efficient tool to take care of nonplanarity which correspond, via AdS/CFT, to string production.

Although the string bit model was quite useful in describing the dynamics of the bosonic sector in the near BMN limit it suffers from internal inconsistencies due to the fermionic spectrum doubling. In the theory of discrete fermions there is a well-known no-go theorem due to Nielsen–Ninomiya ¹⁸ which limits the possibilities of having discrete fermions. Therefore, one should make sure that these limits do not interfere with the AdS/CFT correspondence. For this purpose, one needs to prove the existence of a discrete supersymmetric model which in the continuum model reduces to the pp-wave string. This is the aim of the present note. It is based mainly on the refs ^{19,20} where this problem was approached (for a staggered fermion approach see also ²¹).

2. Fermionic doubling

After fixing the permutation symmetry, the one-string sector of the string bit model is given by the following Hamiltonian:

$$H_{sb} = \sum_{n=0}^{J-1} \left[\frac{a}{2} (p_{in}^2 + x_{in}^2) + \frac{1}{2a} (x_{n+1}^i - x_n^i)^2 \right] - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{J-1} \left[(\theta_n \theta_{n+1} - \tilde{\theta}_n \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}) - 2a\tilde{\theta}_n \Pi \theta_n \right], \quad (1)$$

with commutation relations

$$[p_n^i, x_n^i] = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{a} \delta^{ij} \delta_{mn}, \quad \{\theta_n^a, \theta_m^b\} = \frac{1}{a} \delta^{ab} \delta_{mn}, \quad \{\tilde{\theta}_n^a, \tilde{\theta}_m^b\} = \frac{1}{a} \delta^{ab} \delta_{mn}, \quad (2)$$

where $i=1,\ldots,8$ are vector and, respectively, $a=1,\ldots,8$ spinor indices of SO(8) appearing in the light-cone quantization of the pp-wave string. II is the matrix in SO(8) spinor space given in terms of 16×16 dimensional γ -matrices in chiral representation by

$$\Pi = \gamma^1 \bar{\gamma}^2 \gamma^3 \bar{\gamma}^4, \qquad \Pi^2 = 1. \tag{3}$$

The Hamiltonian (1), together with the shift operator

$$P = \frac{a}{2} \sum_{n} \left[2p_n^i \partial x_n + i(\theta_n \partial \theta_n + \tilde{\theta}_n \partial \tilde{\theta}_n) \right], \tag{4}$$

where $\partial f_n = (1/a)(f_{n+1} - f_n)$ are generated by the "supercharges"

$$\{Q_a, Q_b\} = 2\delta_{ab}(H+P), \quad \{\tilde{Q}_a, \tilde{Q}_b\} = 2\delta_{ab}(H-P), \tag{5}$$

where

$$Q = a \sum_{n} \left[p_n^i \gamma^i \theta_n - x_n^i \gamma^i \Pi \tilde{\theta}_n + \partial x_n \gamma^i \theta_n \right], \tag{6a}$$

$$\tilde{Q} = a \sum_{n} \left[p_n^i \gamma^i \tilde{\theta}_n + x_n^i \gamma^i \Pi \theta_n - \partial x_n \gamma^i \tilde{\theta}_n \right].$$
 (6b)

In spite of the fact that the supercharges generate according to (5) both the Hamiltonian and the shift operator, the supersymmetry fails because the supercharges do not commute

$$\{Q, \tilde{Q}\} \neq 0. \tag{7}$$

The fermionic doubling is better seen if we pass to the Fourier mode description

$$f_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} \sum_{k=-J/2}^{J/2-1} f_k e^{2\pi i k n/J},$$
 (8)

where f_n stands for x_n , p_n θ_n and $\tilde{\theta}_n$ while f_k represents their Fourier modes. In terms of Fourier modes the fermionic part of the Hamiltonian has the form

$$H_f = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k} \left[\sin \frac{2\pi k}{J} (\theta_{-k} \theta_k - \tilde{\theta}_{-k} \tilde{\theta}_k) - 2ai\tilde{\theta}_{-k} \Pi \theta_k \right], \tag{9}$$

where the doubling is visible due to additional zeroes of the sin function in eq. (9). Due to the fact that modes around these additional zeroes have low energy, they survive and contribute in the continuum limit, though they correspond to fast oscillating fields. In contrast, in the bosonic part one has a factor with the cosine function which becomes large in the continuum

limit and one has no doubling there. Therefore, contrary to what can be expected, supersymmetry is not restored for $a \to 0$.

In 21 it was proposed to use a staggered fermion approach in order to cure the fermion doubling. It consists in placing only a half number of fermions, e.g. in odd points (n = 2k + 1) one has only θ_n while in even (n = 2k) there are only $\tilde{\theta}_n$. Due to doubling the number of fermions in the continuum limit is the correct one. The supersymmetry is also restored in the continuum limit. However, at any finite lattice size it is still broken.

3. Almost supersymmetry

In fact, it appears possible to make the theory supersymmetric with the exception of a single mode by properly choosing the lattice derivative function. If this mode is placed in the high energy region of the model one may expect that it does not contribute in the continuum limit.

On the lattice one can have various definitions of the derivative of a field. The only criterion is that the lattice derivative should give the usual derivative in the continuum limit. In general the lattice derivative could be written as the operator

$$\bar{\partial} f_n = \sum_m \bar{\partial}_{nm} f_m,\tag{10}$$

where $\bar{\partial}_{nm} \equiv \bar{\partial}_{n-m}$ are the elements of the derivative matrix $\bar{\partial}$. The condition that $\bar{\partial}_n$ describes a lattice derivative reads

$$\sum_{m} \bar{\partial}_{m} = 0, \qquad \sum_{m} \bar{\partial}_{m} m = 1, \tag{11}$$

and

$$\lim_{a \to 0} \frac{a^k}{k!} \sum_m \bar{\partial}_m m^k \to 0, \qquad k \ge 2.$$
 (12)

In terms of the Fourier mode expansion this means that the Fourier transform $\hat{\partial}_k$ should have the limit

$$\bar{\partial}_k|_{a\to 0} \to \mathrm{i} p_k = 2\pi \mathrm{i} k.$$

Substituting the forward lattice derivatives ∂ in (6) by a generic derivative $\bar{\partial}$ and requiring that we get the same bosonic part of the Hamiltonian, we find the condition of restoration of supersymmetry to be

$$\bar{\partial} = i(\partial^* \partial)^{\frac{1}{2}}.\tag{13}$$

The condition (13) is trivially satisfied in the continuum limit, since (in Fourier mode) $\partial_k = -ip_k$ is an anti-Hermitian operator. In contrast, on the lattice ∂ has both Hermitian and anti-Hermitian parts.^a Therefore, extracting the square root in (13) is a nontrivial procedure also because of its ambiguity.

In terms of Fourier modes equation (13) is reduced to the extraction of the square root for each mode

$$\bar{\partial}_k = i\epsilon_k \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(\cos \frac{2\pi k}{J} - 1\right)} = i\epsilon_k \left| \sin \left(\frac{\pi k}{J}\right) \right|,$$
 (14)

where $\epsilon_k = \pm 1$ is the sign, which can be chosen separately for each mode. The natural choice $\epsilon_k = \operatorname{sgn} k$ yields a jump in the derivative function at $k = \pm J/2$. Also at this point the solution (14) fails to satisfy equation (13). The jump of the derivative function is related to the *nonlocality* of the fermionic derivative

$$\bar{\partial}_{nm} = \frac{2}{a} (-1)^{n-m} \frac{\cos(\frac{\pi}{2J}) \sin(\frac{\pi(n-m)}{J})}{\cos(\frac{\pi}{J}) - \cos(\frac{2\pi(n-m)}{J})}.$$
 (15)

Although (15) looks nonlocal and ugly, one can check^b that its square is a local operator given by

$$(\bar{\partial}^2 f)_n = (f_{n+1} - 2f_n + f_{n-1})/a^2, \tag{16}$$

which is the next-to-neighbor second derivative.

Let us see that the ambiguity of the momentum function does not affect physical observables like the energy.

4. Fermion doubling/nondoubling in the BMN correspondence

Earlier we argued that having a well-defined supersymmetric discrete model is indispensable for confirming the self-consistence of the BMN limit in the fermionic sector. Now we want to define precisely the quantities to be compared.

In the mode expansion of the pp-wave string described by Metsaev ^{8,9} one has the energy of each mode given by the square root

$$\omega_n \sim \sqrt{1 + \lambda^2 n^2},\tag{17}$$

a In general, the Hermitian part of the derivative is the one responsible for fermionic doubling.

^bThe simplest way to do this is to use the Fourier transform.

where λ is the 't Hooft coupling (for simplicity, other parameters here are put to unity). On the other hand, the Yang–Mills contribution at k loops yields a quantity of order $\sim \lambda^{2k}$ which corresponds to the k-th term in the expansion of the square root (17). The planar Yang–Mills contribution up to three loops nowadays is well known and is described by integrable spin chains^{16,17,22}.

In the string bit model the argument of the square root is replaced by $_{19,20}$

$$\bar{\omega}_n \sim \sqrt{1 + \lambda^2 \bar{\partial}_k^2}.$$
 (18)

Let us consider, for definiteness, the contribution of order λ^2 which corresponds to the Yang–Mills one-loop approximation. Then the energy of the string bit mode in this approximation is given by

$$\omega_k^{1-loop} \sim \lambda^2 \bar{\partial}_k^2 = \lambda^2 (\partial^* \partial)_k,$$
 (19)

where ∂ is the usual bosonic forward lattice derivative $\partial f_n = (1/a)(f_{n+1} - f_n)$, while ∂^* is the backward one $\partial^* f_n = (1/a)(f_n - f_{n-1})$.

The one loop energy (19) corresponds to the following term in the fermionic Hamiltonian:

$$\sim -a \sum_{n} \psi_{n}^{(+)} \bar{\partial}^{2} \psi_{n}^{(-)}$$

$$= a \sum_{n} \partial \psi_{n}^{(+)} \partial \psi_{n}^{(-)} = a^{-1} \sum_{n} (\psi_{n+1}^{(+)} - \psi_{n}^{(+)}) (\psi_{n+1}^{(-)} - \psi_{n}^{(-)}), \quad (20)$$

where we integrated by parts after using (16), in order to express $\bar{\partial}^2$ in terms of next neighbor derivatives ∂ and ∂^* .

Let us note that the fields $\psi_n^{(\pm)}$ entering in (20) are inverse Fourier transforms of Hamiltonian eigenmodes rather than the original fermionic fields θ_n and $\tilde{\theta}_n$. The transformation which relates them is a singular one, what makes it possible for the Hamiltonian to be ill-defined in terms of θ_n and $\tilde{\theta}_n$, although in terms of $\psi_n^{(\pm)}$ there is no such problem. In particular, this means that the eigenvalues of the fermionic Hamiltonian are well-defined and compatible with supersymmetry in spite of the discontinuity in (14).

5. Doubling and supersymmetry

In the last section we have shown that one can consistently define the supersymmetric model with the right spectrum, provided one does not insist on the worldsheet fermionic structure. Let us show now that one can have more. Namely, let us construct an exactly supersymmetric string bit model.

As we have established, supersymmetry is present when the fermionic discrete derivative $\bar{\partial}$ and the bosonic one ∂ satisfy the relation (13). The problem arises when we try to solve equation (13) for the fermionic $\bar{\partial}$ with a given bosonic ∂ , corresponding to the next neighbor lattice derivative. In this section we want to show that the problem disappears if one relaxes the latter condition and allows also for an arbitrary bosonic derivative with unambiguous square root (13). Since such a derivative should vanish at the end of the Brillouin zone ($k = \pm J/2$, in the case of an even number of bits), the bosonic sector should be also doubled! In other words, since we are unable to avoid the fermionic doubling without the alteration of the model let us allow the doubling also for the bosons, then one can expect to have supersymmetry.

The simplest choice for a "fermionizable" bosonic derivative function ∂_k can be obtained by choosing it to be the "doubled" fermionic one

$$\partial_k^{new} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2a} \sin(2\pi ka) \,. \tag{21}$$

This corresponds to the symmetric derivative of the bosonic fields,

$$\partial^{new} f_n = (1/2a)(f_{n+1} - f_{n-1}).$$

Since the derivative (21) is purely imaginary, it solves also eq. (13) for the fermionic derivative: $\bar{\partial} = \partial^{new}$. Then, the supersymmetric Hamiltonian is a combination of the bosonic part with derivative (21) and the naive fermionic part

$$H_{new} = \sum_{n=0}^{J-1} \left[\frac{a}{2} (p_{in}^2 + x_{in}^2) + \frac{1}{8a} (x_{n+1}^i - x_{n-1}^i)^2 \right] - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{J-1} \left[(\theta_n \theta_{n+1} - \tilde{\theta}_n \tilde{\theta}_{n+1}) - 2a\tilde{\theta}_n \Pi \theta_n \right], \quad (22)$$

which generates the supersymmetry algebra (5) together with the supercharges (6) and the shift operator (4), where we put $\partial = \bar{\partial} = \partial^{new}$.

Let us note that no even bit n in (22) interacts with an odd one in both fermionic and bosonic sectors. Therefore, the model is doubled in the bosonic sector as well as in the fermionic one. This is confirmed by the inspection of the bosonic Hamiltonian which now reads

$$H_{bosonic}^{new} = \sum_{k} \left[\frac{1}{2} |p_k|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{8} \sin^2(2\pi ka) \right) |x_k|^2 \right]. \tag{23}$$

As it can be seen from Eq. (23), $\sin^2(2\pi ka)$ has two zeroes: one at the origin k=0 and one at the edge of the Brillouin zone $k=\pm J$. Each of these zeroes contributes in the continuum limit a superstring. Therefore one ends up with two superstrings.

One can consider a derivative with any even number 2s of zeroes of the derivative function ∂_k . In this case one will have 2s superstrings in the continuum limit. Let us note that, since the supersymmetry is present at any stage of the discrete model, the continuum theory is also supersymmetric.

6. Discussion

In this note we reviewed the fermionic spectrum of the string bit model. In the naive formulation the model suffers from inconsistencies due to the fermion spectrum doubling and supersymmetry breaking. Supersymmetry is not restored automatically in the continuum limit.

One can "optimize" the fermionic sector for the given form of the bosonic Hamiltonian, in order for supersymmetry breaking to be minimal. In fact, one can have supersymmetry well-defined on all modes but one placed on the edge of the Brillouin zone. We have shown that this discrepancy does not affect, however, the physical spectrum of the model, since it depends on the square of the fermionic derivative which is a well-defined function.

In the case one allows doubling also for bosonic part, one can construct a fully supersymmetric discrete theory. The continuum limit of this model is expected to contain a pair or, in general, any even number of superstrings. It is interesting to find out if one can use a correlated doubling removing procedure for both the bosonic and the fermionic sector, like the Wilson generalization or some other procedure, in order to get a single copy of string in the continuum limit and preserve supersymmetry. We hope to return to this elsewhere.

Finally, our analysis leads us to the conclusion that the obstructions on the existence of discrete supersymmetric models are not enough to make the BMN limit in SYM theory problematic. Beyond this, there is no topological nor any other obstruction to this, since the theory is not chiral. In this sense, this case is similar to SU(2) chiral fermions on the lattice, where one can have a discrete theory preserving all perturbative symmetries²³.

Acknowledgement

We thank G. Arutyunov, N. Beisert and J. Plefka for useful discussions and the organizers of the Conference for warm hospitality and creative

atmosphere.

References

- 1. J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231–252, hep-th/9711200.
- S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov, and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory," *Phys. Lett.* B428 (1998) 105–114, hep-th/9802109.
- O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri, and Y. Oz, "Large N field theories, string theory and gravity," *Phys. Rept.* 323 (2000) 183–386, hep-th/9905111.
- 4. I. Bena, J. Polchinski, and R. Roiban, "Hidden symmetries of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring," *Phys. Rev.* **D69** (2004) 046002, hep-th/0305116.
- D. Berenstein, J. M. Maldacena, and H. Nastase, "Strings in flat space and pp waves from N=4 super Yang Mills," *JHEP* 04 (2002) 013, hep-th/0202021.
- 6. D. Berenstein and H. Nastase, "On lightcone string field theory from super Yang–Mills and holography," hep-th/0205048.
- D. Berenstein, E. Gava, J. M. Maldacena, K. S. Narain, and H. Nastase, "Open strings on plane waves and their Yang-Mills duals," hep-th/0203249.
- R. R. Metsaev, "Type IIB Green-Schwarz superstring in plane wave Ramond-Ramond background," Nucl. Phys. B625 (2002) 70–96, hep-th/0112044.
- 9. R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, "Exactly solvable model of superstring in plane wave Ramond–Ramond background," *Phys. Rev.* **D65** (2002) 126004, hep-th/0202109.
- 10. S. Frolov and A. A. Tseytlin, "Multi-spin string solutions in $AdS_5 \times S^5$," Nucl. Phys. **B668** (2003) 77–110, hep-th/0304255.
- 11. G. Arutyunov, S. Frolov, J. Russo, and A. A. Tseytlin, "Spinning strings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and integrable systems," *Nucl. Phys.* **B671** (2003) 3–50, hep-th/0307191.
- 12. A. A. Tseytlin, "Spinning strings and AdS/CFT duality," hep-th/0311139.
- 13. H. Verlinde, "Bits, matrices and 1/N," hep-th/0206059.
- D. Vaman and H. Verlinde, "Bit strings from N = 4 gauge theory," hep-th/0209215.
- J.-G. Zhou, "pp-wave string interactions from string bit model," hep-th/0208232.
- 16. J. A. Minahan and K. Zarembo, "The Bethe-ansatz for $\mathcal{N}=4$ super Yang–Mills," *JHEP* **03** (2003) 013, hep-th/0212208.
- 17. N. Beisert and M. Staudacher, "The $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM integrable super spin chain," hep-th/0307042.
- 18. H. B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, "No go theorem for regularizing chiral fermions," *Phys. Lett.* **B105** (1981) 219.

- 19. S. Bellucci and C. Sochichiu, "Can string bits be supersymmetric?," *Phys. Lett.* **B571** (2003) 92–96, hep-th/0307253.
- 20. S. Bellucci and C. Sochichiu, "Fermion doubling and BMN correspondence," *Phys. Lett.* **B564** (2003) 115–122, hep-th/0302104.
- 21. U. Danielsson, F. Kristiansson, M. Lubcke, and K. Zarembo, "String bits without doubling," hep-th/0306147.
- 22. N. Beisert, "The su(2-3) dynamic spin chain," hep-th/0310252.
- 23. C. Sochichiu, "SU(2) chiral yang-mills model on a lattice: Witten anomaly eaten by the lattice," *Phys. Lett.* **B422** (1998) 227–232, hep-lat/9710009.